

28th February 1928]

## APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to question No. 1598 asked by Mr. G. Harisaryottama Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th February 1928, page 101 supra.]

*Note.*

\*The figures furnished with reference to clauses (c) and (d) of question No. 259 answered on 27th August 1927 include 40 incomplete applications which were returned for retransmission with the necessary enclosures in the proper form. The number of applications for registration actually pending at the beginning of 1926 was therefore only 9, and the number received during the year was 33. Out of the nine applications referred to above, 7 were registered and 2 were pending. Of the 33 applications for registration received during 1926, 9 were registered, 5 were rejected and 19 were pending at the end of the year.

The date of application by the villagers of Peda Chintakunta in the Sirvel taluk of the Kurnool district for the registration of a co-operative society for them is not known as none of the signatories thereto dated their signatures. The society was organized by the inspector on 22nd May 1926, and the proposal reached the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Kurnool, with the recommendation of the Chagalmarri Co-operative Union on 8th July 1926. The Assistant Registrar is responsible for delay in submitting the organization file for registration and the delay in starting the society was with the Union and its Supervisor. The explanations of the Assistant Registrar and the Union Supervisor concerned have been obtained and suitable action taken.

## APPENDIX II.

[Vide answer to question No. 1614 asked by Syed Tajudin Sahib Bahadur at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th February 1928, page 107 supra.]

B.P. No. 34, Press, dated 15th June 1927.

N. MAOMICHAEL, Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S.,

Commissioner of Land Revenue and Settlement.

*Resolution—No. 34, Press, dated 15th June 1927.*

The Board submits to Government its report on the expenditure incurred during 1926-27 on the upkeep of minor irrigation works in the Presidency in charge of the Revenue Department.

2. The aggregate amount sanctioned for expenditure during the year was Rs. 12,25,068 against Rs. 7,93,915 in the previous

[28th February 1928]

year and the actual expenditure was Rs. 12,12,335 or 99 per cent of the sanctioned allotment against 97.7 per cent in the previous year. The works executed fall under two classes as shown below :—

	Allotment.	Expenditure.
	RS.	RS.
(i) Works not requiring high technical skill	12,00,733	11,88,424
(ii) Works executed by the Public Works Department.	24,335	23,911
Total ...	12,25,068	12,12,335

The appended Statement A shows by districts the particulars of allotment and expenditure under these heads. The results are, the Board considers, satisfactory. Excluding Cuddapah and Coimbatore where the outlay slightly exceeded the allotment the unexpended balance was over 5 per cent of the allotment only in Ganjam district. The short expenditure in that district was due mainly to the fact that the estimated progress could not be achieved in the Chokkapad khandam where minor irrigation works were newly started in the year. The incompetence of an overseer in Ghumsur taluk also explained part of the short expenditure. The overseer has been punished by the Collector.

3. The appended Statement B shows the estimated value of customary labour performed by the ryots and their contribution in labour or money towards the execution of works carried out from the minor irrigation grant during the year under report.

4. The total number of irrigation works in each district and the number repaired during the year are shown in Appendix C.

5. Appendix D shows the number of applications made by private persons, the number of cases in which permission was granted and the number of works completed under Board's Standing Orders Nos. 7, 8 and 10.

6. The value of work done but not paid for at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 12,018 as shown below :—

	RS.
Ganjam ... ..	148
Kistna ... ..	298
Guntur .. ..	1,004
Cuddapah ... ..	4,470
Anantapur ... ..	2,736
Bellary ... ..	3
Chingleput ... ..	3,107
Chittoor ... ..	252
Total ...	12,018

28th February 1928]

In Cuddapah, Anantapur and Chingleput districts payments could not be made for one or other of the following reasons:—

- (1) Non-receipt of bills.
- (2) Works in progress.
- (3) Works nearing completion.
- (4) 10 per cent deductions made on incomplete works.
- (5) Bills under revision and correspondence.

It must, however, be noted that in these districts there was no balance from which to make payment.

The Collector of Guntur has not explained the reasons for the balance in his district and his explanation is awaited. In the remaining districts the amounts outstanding are small and call for no remarks.

7. The number of works repaired by the Public Works Department under the Tank Restoration Scheme and handed over to the Revenue Department during the year is given below:—

District.	Number of works.	District.	Number of works.
Vizagapatam ...	20	North Arcot ...	16
West Godavari ...	39	Chittoor ...	27
Cuddapah ...	16	South Arcot ...	5
Anantapur ...	12	Trichinopoly ...	30
Bellary ...	21	Tinnevelly ...	7
Chingleput ...	34	Salem ...	7

8. The reconciliation of the Collectors' figures of charges in respect of minor irrigation works with the treasury figures is being effected in accordance with the instructions issued in G. O. No. 110, Finance, dated 11th February 1925.

(True extract)

W. S. BROWN,  
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.  
 „ Collectors of all districts except the Nilgiris and South Kanara.  
 „ Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.  
 „ Chief Engineer for Irrigation.  
 „ Accountant-General, Madras.



[28th February 1928]

## Appendix A.

District.	Works.		Balance.	Percent- age of balance.	Value of work done but not paid for.	Works executed by the Public Works Department.	
	Allotment as finally revised.	Expendi- ture.				Allotment	Expendi- ture.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	RS.	RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	91,820	87,654	7,166	7.5	148	..	..
Vizagapatam ..	33,611	33,511	130	0.4	..	..	..
Godavari, East ..	27,300	27,300	..	..	..	..	..
Do. West ..	18,000	17,395	605	3.3	..	..	..
Kistna ..	27,745	26,626	1,119	4.0	298	..	..
Guntur ..	63,285	63,264	21	..	1,004	..	..
Nellore ..	34,000	33,352	648	1.9	..	1,680	1,679
Cuddapah ..	45,250	47,012	1,769	..	4,470	..	..
Anantapur ..	39,500	39,499	1	..	2,786	..	..
Bellary ..	16,700	16,513	87	0.5	3	435	436
Kurnool ..	28,500	28,502	2	..	..	3,320	3,321
Chingleput ..	96,830	96,830	..	..	3,107	560	480
North Arcot ..	82,250	82,250	..	..	..	4,300	4,250
Chittoor ..	44,000	43,999	1	..	252	4,200	4,166
South Arcot ..	1,08,100	1,06,622	1,478	1.3	..	3,470	3,283
Tanjore ..	63,425	61,869	1,556	2.4	..	1,000	960
Trichinopoly ..	56,600	55,578	1,022	1.8	..	..	..
Madura ..	78,000	77,975	25	..	..	1,670	1,673
Ramnad ..	61,170	60,946	224	0.4	..	..	..
Tinnevely ..	1,04,700	1,04,082	618	0.6	..	1,670	1,695
Coimbatore ..	20,840	21,624	784	..	..	..	..
Salem ..	56,022	55,859	163	0.3	..	2,090	1,959
Malabar ..	55	55	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	*12,00,733	†11,88,424	12,309	1.02	12,018	24,335	23,911

RS.  
 \* Amount sanctioned in the Civil Budget Estimate .. .. . 12,07,200  
 Deduct—(i) Amount transferred to non-contract contingencies (G.O. No. 422, Finance, dated 5th August 1926). — 720  
 (ii) Amount surrendered to Government in B.P. Rout. No. 1273, dated 26th February 1927, under 'Works' and 'Maintenance and Repairs', and resumed in G.O. R. No. 194, Finance, dated 7th March 1927. — 5,747

Net total .. 12,00,733

† Excludes allotment and expenditure under 'Establishment' and 'Tools and plant' as shown below :—

	Allotment.	Expenditure.
	RS.	RS.
Establishment ..	2,17,227	2,08,514
Tools and plant ..	500	343

28th February 1928]

*Appendix B—Kudimaramat statement.*

District.	Approximate value of work performed on irrigation works by customary labour.	Amount of contribution in lieu of labour levied under section 6 of Act I of 1858.	Fines inflicted under section 2 of Act I of 1858.	Voluntary contributions towards repairs to irrigation works executed by the Revenue or Public Works Department.	
				In labour.	In money.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	..	..	..	..	..
Vizagapatam ..	2,863	..	107	..	..
Godavari, East.	..	..	..	..	..
Do. West.	..	372	..	..	..
Kistna ..	..	..	..	..	..
Guntur ..	..	..	..	..	683
Nellore ..	34	896	..	..	607
Cuddapah ..	9,225	1,623	1,744	..	..
Anantapur ..	385	3,151	..	..	..
Bellary ..	..	..	237	..	100
Kornool ..	..	4,033	..	..	..
Chingleput ..	15,369	3,926	..	..	..
North Arcot ..	41,053	3,747	..	..	987
Chittoor ..	11,079	1,784	..	147	892
South Arcot ..	16,980	7,335	..	100	2,665
Tanjore ..	81,061	170	..	..	..
Trichinopoly ..	10,775	5,347	..	..	706
Madura ..	..	1,276	..	..	..
Ramnad ..	1,683	..	..	..	56
Tinnevely ..	267	170	..	450	1,965
Coimbatore ..	..	8,425	..	..	..
Salem ..	4,952	10,641	962	2,573	..

வாய்மையே வெல்லும்  
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

[28th February 1928]

*Appendix C*—Statement showing the number of Irrigation works in charge of the Revenue Department, the number repaired and the allotment spent on them during the official year 1926-27.

District.	Total number of Irrigation works in the district.	Irrigation works repaired during the official year 1926-27.	
		Number.	Amount spent.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Rs. .
Ganjam .. .. .	2,473	259	87,654
Vizagapatam .. .. .	1,129	114	33,511
Godavari East .. .. .	996	89	27,390
Do. West .. .. .	324	31	17,395
Kistna .. .. .	62	25	26,626
Guntur .. .. .	267	114	63,264
Nellore .. .. .	369	79	33,352
Cuddapah .. .. .	1,321	107	47,019
Anantapur .. .. .	2,555	145	39,499
Bellary .. .. .	298	43	16,613
Kurnool .. .. .	555	106	28,562
Madras .. .. .	4	..	..
Chingleput .. .. .	1,710	293	96,630
North Arcot .. .. .	2,510	143	82,250
Chittoor .. .. .	4,704	109	43,999
South Arcot .. .. .	2,138	142	1,06,622
Tanjore .. .. .	621	173	61,869
Trichinopoly .. .. .	1,421	88	55,578
Madura .. .. .	3,757	113	77,975
Ramnad .. .. .	285	65	60,946
Tinnevely .. .. .	1,613	193	1,04,082
Coimbatore .. .. .	73	40	21,624
Salem .. .. .	2,396	125	55,859
Malabar .. .. .	2	2	55
Total .. .. .	31,583	2,598	11,88,424

\* The figures in the jamabandi report for fasli 1335 have been adopted for this statement.

28th February 1929]

*Appendix D—Statement of applications for construction or repair of irrigation works under Board's Standing Order Nos. 7, 8 and 10.*

District.	Construction of new tanks (Board's Standing Order No. 7).							Repair of tanks (Board's Standing Order No. 8).			
	Number of applications.				Number of applications disposed of during the year.			Number of applications.			
	Remaining un-disposed of at the commencement of the year.	Received during the year.	Total.	Granted.	Refused.	Total.	Balance at the end of the year.	Remarks—Number of works completed during the year.	Remaining un-disposed of at the commencement of the year.	Received during the year.	Total.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Ganjam ..	4	8	12	8	1	9	3	* 2	..	..	..
Vizagapatam ..	1	6	7	3	2	5	2	..	..	4	4
East Godavari ..	..	5	5	1	2	3	..	..	..	..	..
West Godavari ..	2	11	13	4	4	8	5	..	..	1	1
Kistna ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1
Guntur ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2
Nellore ..	2	1	3	1	..	1	2	..	2	2	4
Cuddapah ..	1	1	2	..	1	1	1	..	5	3	8
Anantapur ..	5	3	8	5	1	6	2	..	5	22	27
Bellary ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot ..	..	1	1	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	1
Chittoor ..	3	..	3	2	1	3	..	..	4	4	8
South Arcot ..	1	11	12	4	6	10	2	4	..	..	..
Trichinopoly ..	9	5	14	3	6	9	5	3	..	..	..
Madura ..	1	..	1	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore ..	1	1	2	1	1	2	..	..	1	..	1
Salem ..	1	1	2	..	1	1	1	..	1	..	1
Tinnevely ..	12	5	17	12	4	16	1	1	..	..	..
Total ..	43	59	102	45	30	75	27	10	20	38	58

\* (In progress.)

வாய்மையே வெல்லும்  
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS



[28th February 1928]

District.	Repair of tanks (Board's Standing Order No. 8—cont.					Digging of channels (Board's Standing Order No. 10).								
	Number of applications disposed of during the year.			Balance at the end of the year.	Remarks—Number of works completed during the year.	Number of applications.			Number of applications disposed of during the year.			Balance at the end of the year.	Remarks—Number of works completed during the year.	
	Granted.	Refused.	Total.			Remaining undisposed of at the commencement of the year.	Received during the year.	Total.	Granted.	Refused.	Total.			
	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	
Ganjam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	1	..	1	..	..	
Vizagapatam ..	..	4	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
East Godavari ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
West Godavari ..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Kistna ..	1	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Guntūr ..	..	1	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Nellore ..	2	..	2	2	..	1	..	1	..	1	1	..	..	
Cuddapah ..	..	5	5	3	..	1	1	2	2	..	2	..	..	
Anantapur ..	13	6	19	8	..	4	3	7	..	4	4	3	..	
Bellary ..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	1	..	1	..	..	
North Arcot ..	..	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Chittoor ..	..	3	3	5	..	1	1	2	1	1	2	..	..	
South Arcot ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Trichinopoly ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Madura ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Coimbatore ..	..	..	..	1	..	13	30	43	7	25	32	11	..	
Salem ..	..	..	..	1	..	8	7	15	4	10	14	1	..	
Tinnevely ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total ..	17	20	37	21	1	29	43	72	16	41	67	15	..	

## APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to question No. 1617 asked by Syed Tajudin Sahib Bahadur at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th February 1928, page 108 supra.]

*Note on Lift Irrigation by Electric Pumping.*

Mr. Hawkins, the late Chief Engineer for Irrigation, set on foot an enquiry in August 1925 as to the possibilities of well irrigation by group electric pumping in areas where supply by direct flow from rivers or tanks was deficient or non-existent. The enquiry was addressed to all the Collectors and Superintending Engineers who were asked for all available information as regards likely areas, possibilities of subsoil supply as indicated by the yield from existing wells, nature of crops already grown or likely to be